

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|   | Case #   | Date of Hearing Request                    | Petitioner | Decision Date | In Favor Of | 1. Plain. Atty. 2. DOE Atty 3. H. O. | Issue   | Decision  | 1. Age, sex 2. Disability 3. Prior Settle. 4. Placement | Timeline extension/ Requested by |
|---|----------|--|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 1 | 0506-003 | 7/15/05                                    | Mother     | 11/10/05      | Mother      | 1. SL<br>2. RO & HS<br>3. SN & RM    | 1. Whether DOE was required to conduct an eval & whether DOE considered potential psychological harm by placement at home school<br>2. Whether DOE filed to offer placement in the LRE<br>3. Whether DOE properly determined student's PLEP   | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE for SY 05-06 (did not provide LRE/did not consider info from private school)<br>2. DOE shall reimburse parent for student's tuition at private school until such time as FAPE is offered<br>3. Parents request for transportation reim. denied.   | 1. 7th Gr., F<br>2. SLD<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes             | By 5 weeks/<br>Pet.              |
| 2 | 0506-007 | ?<br>9/9/05<br>Motion for Summary Judgment | Parents    | 9/20/05       | Parents     | 1. PL<br>2. RO<br>3. RY              | 1. Whether DOE is in violation for failing to implement the agreement reached in 8/4/05 IEP and resolution session.   | 1. DOE's motion for dismissal denied -- not timely<br>2. DOE in violation re: content of IEP<br>3. DOE to conduct psycho-ed eval<br>4. All special ed services, including ESY be restored effective 8/4/05  | 1. ?<br>2. ?<br>3. Yes<br>4. No                         | By 2 wks                         |
| 3 | 0506-008 | 7/22/05                                    | Mother     | 10/21/05      | Mother      | 1. SL<br>2. LT & AS<br>3. RM         | 1. Whether evals conducted by DOE were adequate to identify the cause of student's deficiency in reading<br>2. Whether DOE failed to offer placement in the LRE for SY 05-06<br>3. Whether DOE properly determined PLEP, the need for ESY and curricula and methodologies to address student's dyslexia | 1. DOE did not offer FAPE for Y 05-06<br>2. DOE shall reimburse parents for tuition at private school for SY 05-06 until FAPE is offered to student   | 1. 4th Gr.<br>2. SLD<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes                | By 2 weeks/<br>both parties      |
| 4 | 0506-011 | 8/4/05                                     | Parents    | 12/19/05      | Parents     | 1. CV<br>2. RY<br>3. HA              | 1. Whether IEP offered FAPE when it unilaterally reduced IISC, skills trainer, ESY & CARD services in 6/7/05 IEP.   | 1. 5/2/04 IEP did not offer FAPE (infringed on parents right to participate)<br>2. IEP team shall reinstate a) Intensive Support Services during school day and after school, b) recreational therapy, and c) ESY.<br>2) DOE to provide FM system and FastForward program<br>3) DOE to reimburse parents for speech language therapy and private CARD services. | 1. 9, M<br>2. Autism, ADHD, CAPD<br>3. No<br>4. No      | By 8 weeks/<br>both parties      |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |          |         |         |          |         |                              |   |  |   |                                   |
|----|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|------------------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 5  | 0506-013 | 8/10/05 | Parents | 1/17/06  | Parents | 1. CN<br>2. AS<br>3. RY      | 1. Whether IEP was procedurally and substantively flawed 2. Whether student's IEP provided an inappropriate placement   | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE due to failure to assess mental health needs and offer of inappropriate placement 2. DOE to reimburse parents for tuition at private school until FAPE is offered.   | 1. 9th gr.,? 2. Autism<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes                | By 9 weeks / Pet /by 2+ weeks/Res |
| 6  | 0506-020 | 8/22/05 | Mother  | 2/8/06   | Mother  | 1. KP<br>2. GH & JY<br>3. RY | 1. Whether 5/20/05 IEP provided FAPE re: amt. & frequency of ESY, LRE, and adequacy of related services and whether parental input was recognized at IEP meetings | 1. 5/20/05 did not provide FAPE because it did not address amt. & frequency of ESY and placed student in overly restrictive PE class. 2. DOE to reimburse parents for cost of private school and related services for SY 05-06                       | 1. 14, ?<br>2. MR<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes                     | By 12 weeks/ mother               |
| 7  | 0506-033 | 9/7/05  | Parents | 1/30/06  | DOE     | 1. SL<br>2. AS<br>3. CU      | 1. Whether DOE denied FAPE due to development of IEP without input from parents or private school and due to absence at IEP of student's current school           | 1. Petitioners failed to prove that DOE did not provide FAPE   | 1. 16, F<br>2. ?<br>3. Yes<br>4, Yes                      | By 8 weeks/ both parties          |
| 8  | 0506-040 | 9/19/05 | Mother  | 12/14/05 | Mother  | 1. SL<br>2. AS<br>3. RY      | 1. Whether DOE offered FAPE through 5/2/05 IEP 2. Whether the FSC class offered by the home school was appropriate  | 1. 5/2/04 IEP did not provide FAPE; FSC placement (LRE) inappropriate 2. DOE to pay costs of private school & related services for SY 05-06  | 1. 14/ Gr. 8, M<br>2. CAPD<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes            | By 1-2 weeks/ parents             |
| 9  | 0506-042 | 9/22/05 | Mother  | 1/13/06  | Mother  | 1. KP<br>2. RO<br>3. RY      | 1. Whether 9/1/05 IEP offered FAPE  | 1. DOE did not offer FAPE as placement in FSC classroom too restrictive (LRE) 2. Parents to be reimbursed the cost of private school placement for SY 05-06  | 1. 10, ?<br>2. Asp Syn<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes                  | By 5 weeks/ both parties          |
| 10 | 0506-047 | 9/27/05 | Parents | 4/17/06  | Parents | 1. SL<br>2. LB<br>3. RY      | 1. Whether 9/27/04 and 5/17/05 IEPs offered FAPE and 2. Whether student was entitled to compensatory education  | 1. Pre-trial motion by DOE denied parents request for reimbursement for private school or compensatory ed 2. 9/27/04 did not provide FAPE due to inappropriate FSC placement 3. 5/17/05 IEP did not provide FAPE as proposed placement inappropriate | 1. 16, F<br>2. ED (social phobia), CAPD<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes | By 17 weeks / by parents          |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |          |  |         |                  |         |                         |   |   |   |                             |
|----|----------|--|---------|------------------|---------|-------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 11 | 0506-049 | 9/29/05  | Mother  | 2/3/06           | Mother  | 1. KP<br>2. LT<br>3. HA | 1. Whether 9/26/05 IEP was reasonably calculated to enable student to receive educational benefits from after school program  | 1. 1. DOE did not offer FAPE with regard to transportation 2. IEP team shall convene to determine an appropriate mode of transportation 3. Parent has 30 days to submit documentation regarding reimbursement for transporting student. | 1. 17, ?<br>2. Autism<br>3. ?<br>4. No  | By 5 weeks/<br>both parties |
| 12 | 0506-050 | 11/22/05<br>1/23/06<br>Motion for Summary Jdgemt | Parents | 1/31/06          | Parents | 1. PL<br>2. LB<br>3. RY | 1. Whether DOE provided in-home skills trainer services outlined in 8/19/04 and 5/23/05 IEPs  | 1. DOE denied FAPE by failing to provide in-home skills trainer services 2. Student is awarded compensatory education for all services not provided by in-home skills trainer   | 1. ? , ?<br>2. Autism<br>3. No<br>4. No | In time                     |
| 13 | 0506-058 | 10/13/05   | Parents | 12/14/05         | DOE     | 1.KP<br>2. LB<br>3. HA  | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE. 2. Wheter the student shall be reimbursed for the placement at the private school   | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed.   | 1. 2. 3.<br>4.                          | In time                     |
| 14 | 0506-060 | 10/19/05   | Mother  | 3/20/06          | Mother  | 1. KP<br>2. LT<br>3. HA | 1. Whether 8/23/05 IEP offered FAPE re: school transition plan and placement in Math 2. Whether the 8/23/05 IEP was provided in a timely manner                         | 1. FAPE was not offered in a timely manner 2. DOE to reimburse parents for private school for 1st Semester of SY 05-06  | 1. 16<br>2. OHI<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes     | By 10 weeks                 |
| 15 | 0506-061 | 10/19/05   | Mother  | 3/23/06 M & O    | DOE     | 1.KP<br>2. LB<br>3. RY  | Whether DOE offered FAPE at home school through 7/30/05 IEP; whether placement in sped arts & math classes was overly restrictive; Whether Doe appropriately denied ESY | 1. Petitioner did not prove that DOE failed to offer FAPE 2. Petitioner's request for reimbursment dismissed.   | 1. 11, F<br>2. SLD?<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes   | By 10 weeks/ by mother      |
| 16 | 0506-062 | 10/19/05   | Parent  | 12/12/2005 M & O | DOE     | 1. KP<br>2. LT<br>3. HA | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE. 2. Wheter the student shall be reimbursed for the tuition at the private school   | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed. Request for hearing filed more than 90 days after enrollment at private school.   | 1. ?<br>2. ?<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes        | In time                     |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |          |          |         |         |         |                         |   |   |   |   |
|----|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | 0506-063 | 10/19/05 | Father  | 3/13/06 | Rfather | 1. KP<br>2. GK<br>3. RY | 1. Whether the proposed class size in the 5/2/05 IEP was appropriate and transition plan was sufficient.  | 1. 5/2/05 IEP did not provide FAPE due to inadequate transition plan between schools and post high school. 2. 9/21/05 IEP did not offer FAPE as transition plan did not specify courses needed. 3. DOE's assertion that parent claims for PS reimburse. be barred under statute of limitations not proven. Parent awarded reimburse. for SY05-06 and related services | 1. 16, ?<br>2. SLD, Asperger's, auditory processing<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes | By 8 weeks  |
| 18 | 0506-071 | 10/21/05 | Parents | 5/10/06 | Parents | 1. JF<br>2. JY<br>3. RY | 1. Whether IEP offered appropriate services and offered FAPE 2. Whether home school was proper placement  | 1. 9/3/04 and 6/2/05 IEPs did not provide FAPE - no meaningful educational gains and no FBA or BIP 2. DOE to reimburse parents for cost of private school for SY 05-06 and related services 3. DOE to provide compensatory ed. Consisting of costs of placement & related services at private school during ESY.  | 1. 5, ?<br>2. Autism<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes                                | By 19 weeks; counter motions + parent request to extend |
| 19 | 0506-075 | 10/20/05 | Parents | 7/10/06 | Parents | 1. CV<br>2. AS<br>3. RM | Whether 8-26-05 IEP was product of collaboration with parents 2. Whether IEP provided appropriate program   | 1. 8-26-05 IEP did not provide FAPE (Doe did not consider parent & private school input; IEP failed to provide for individualized instruction) 2. DOE to reimburse parents for private school tuition for SY 05-06  | 1. 15, ?<br>2. Autism, CAPD<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes                       | By 27 weeks   |
| 20 | 0506-078 | 10/31/05 | Parents | 4/17/06 | Parents | 1. JF<br>2. RO<br>3. HA | Whether DOE should have offered student FAPE during SY 04-05 (he was previously eligible for a 504 Plan)  | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE during SY04-05 by not identifying him/her as an eligible student 2. Parents to receive compensatory education--reimbursement for SY04-05 private school tuition + weekly social skills group training.  | 1. 15, 9th gr., ?<br>2. ADHD, possible Asperger<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes     | By 11 weeks   |
| 21 | 0506-082 | 11/7/05  | Mother  | 5/30/06 | Mother  | 1. SL<br>2. AS<br>3. HA | 1. Whether DOE failed to assess student in all areas of suspected disability 2. Whether DOE failed to perform a re-eval 3. Whether DOE failed to consider whether student's behavior impeded his/her learning and that of others. | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE during SY04-05 and 05-06 2. DOE to conduct a reeval of student to include an eval for anxiety disorder 3. Parent's request for private school tuition reimburse. Denied due to 90 day timelines.  | 1. 6, ?<br>2. Autism<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes                                | By 16 weeks   |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |                        |                                      |         |               |         |                          |  |  |   |             |
|----|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|--------------------------|--|--|---|-------------|
| 22 | 0506-082<br>(amended ) | Remanded from District Court 9/12/06 | Mother  | 2/19/07       | Mother  | 1. SL<br>2. AS<br>3. HA  | Whether parent filed a due process hearing request 90 days or less from the time she received notice of the law.   | 1. Parent was within timelines in filing request 2. DOE to reimburse parent for private school tuition for SY04-05 + compensatory education of payment of expenses at private school for SY06-07         | 1. 6, ?<br>2. Autism<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes              | By 6 weeks  |
| 23 | 0506-085               | 1/6/06                               | Parents | 1/17/06 M & O | DOE     | 1. RH<br>2. LT.<br>3. RY | 1. Whether the DOE shall cover the cost of the student's transportation to non home school   | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed.  | 1. 14, ?<br>2. ?<br>3. ?<br>4. No                     | In time     |
| 24 | 0506-086               | 11/10/05                             | Parent  | 9/11/06       | Parent  | 1. SL<br>2. GY<br>3. HA  | 1. Whether the DOE failed to provide a PWN when refusing to perform an evaluation & that the DOE failed to conduct a reevaluation of the student. 2. Whether 6/1/05 IEP offered placement in LRE | 1. 6-1-05 IEP did not offer FAPE (IEP goals not calculated to offer benefit) 2. DOE to reimburse parent for private school tuition for SY 05-06 and for transportation and tutoring costs                | 1. 7th gr., ?<br>2. Devel. Disorder<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes | By 33 weeks |
| 25 | 0506-087               | 11/16/05                             | Parents | 5/30/06       | DOE     | 1. JF<br>2. GK<br>3. RY  | 1. Whether the DOE failed to provide FAPE (by properly evaluating student by failing to conduct an FBA, by not providing a research-based program  | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed.  | 1. 4, ?<br>2. Autism<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes                | By 11 weeks |
| 26 | 0506-090               | 11/22/05                             | Parents | 3/17/06       | Parents | 1. KP<br>2. LT<br>3. HA  | 1. Whether the DOE shall cover the cost of the student's transportation to after school program in the community   | 1. Petitioners are deemed the prevailing party in this matter 2. DOE must reimburse the family for transportation cost until the DOE can provide the student with an appropriate mode of transportation. | 1. 15, ?<br>2. MR<br>3. ?<br>4. No                    | By 4 weeks  |
| 27 | 0506-091               | 1/6/06                               | Parents | 1/18/06 M & O | DOE     | 1. PL<br>2. RO<br>3. RY  | 1. Whether the DOE failed to provide a PWN when refusing to perform an evaluation & that the DOE failed to conduct a reevaluation of the student.  | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed   | 1. ?<br>2. Not eligible<br>3. ?<br>4. No              | In time     |
| 28 | 0506-092               | 11/29/05                             | Parents | 2/7/06 M & O  | DOE     | 1. RH<br>2. AS<br>3. HA  | 1. Whether issues in hearing request relate to FAPE  | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed   | 1. ?, ?<br>2. ?<br>3. ?<br>4. ?                       | In time     |
| 29 | 0506-094               | 12/1/05                              | Mother  | 3/28/06       | DOE     | 1. KP<br>2. JY<br>3. HA  | 1. Whether the student's placement was overly restrictive 2. Whether ESY services were individualized  | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed.  | 1. 11, ?<br>2. SLD<br>3.<br>4. Yes                    | By 5 weeks  |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |          |          |         |                   |         |                              |  |  |   |             |
|----|----------|----------|---------|-------------------|---------|------------------------------|--|--|---|-------------|
| 30 | 0506-095 | 12/1/05  | Parents | 7/3/06            | Parents | 1. JF<br>2. GK<br>3. HA      | 1. Whether DOE properly evaluated student 2. Whether 9/30/05 IEP offered insufficient services   | 1. 9/30/05 IEP did not provide student with FAPE 2. DOE to reimburse parents for private school tuition for 05 ESY and SY 05-06                                      | 1. 4, ?<br>2. PDD/ Dev. Delay<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes | By 21 weeks |
| 31 | 0506-096 | 12/1/05  | Parent  | 12/19/06<br>M & O | DOE     | 1. KP<br>2. LT<br>3. HA      | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE. 2, Whether plaintiff attorney failed to describe the nature of the student's problem in the complaint  | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed   | 1. ?, ?<br>2. ?<br>3. ?<br>4. ?                 | In time     |
| 32 | 0506-097 | 12/1/05  | Mother  | 5/1/06            | DOE     | 1. KP<br>2. EA<br>3. RY      | 1. Whether student's placement in sped classes for P.E. and electives was too restrictive 2. Whether student is entitled to reimbursement for private school placement                                       | 1. Petitioner did not prove that proposed placement in special ed PE was overly restrictive  | 1. 12, M<br>2. Autism<br>3. Yes ?<br>4. Yes     | By 13 weeks |
| 33 | 0506-102 | 12/7/05  | DOE     | 2/15/06           | DOE     | 1. DES<br>2. None<br>3. HA   | 1. Whether DOE's evaluation for eligibility which found the student "language different" but not disabled was appropriate 2. Whether DOE should pay for an Independent Ed. Eval (IEE) as requested by parent | 1. DOE's eval was appropriate 2. DOE not required to provide and IEE at public expense.  | 1. 13, M<br>2. None<br>3. -<br>4. no            | In time     |
| 34 | 0506-105 | 12/16/05 | Father  | 4/28/06           | Father  | 1. MB<br>2. LB & JY<br>3. HA | 1. Whether DOE denied FAPE by reducing the amount of time the student could be in the community through substituting transportation by skills trainer with a modified school bus transportation plan         | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE due to a unilateral change in CBI program by DOE 2. DOE to provide daily afterschool transportation and skills training for CBI.         | 1. 7, ?<br>2. Autism<br>3. ?<br>4. No           | 7 weeks     |
| 35 | 0506-107 | 12/21/05 | Parents | 1/22/07           | Parent  | 1. KP<br>2. GH<br>3. HA      | 1. Whether student denied FAPE when speechservices were reduced 2. Whether student denied FAPE when he did not receive IISC consultation and skills trainer hours specified in IEP                           | 1. DOE denied FAPE by not provding full allotment of IISC and skills trainer hours 2. DOE to provide additional hours of IISC and ST services to make up for deficit | 1. 8,<br>2. Autism?<br>3. ?<br>4. No            | In time     |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |          |         |               |         |        |                              |  |  |   |                          |
|----|----------|---------|---------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| 36 | 0506-111 | 1/6/06  | Mother        | 6/9/06  | Parent | 1. MB<br>2. LT<br>3. HA      | 1. Whether DOE responded appropriately to parent request for IEE 2. Whether DOE provided appropriate reading program and after school or Extended School Day Program 3. Whether reading program met student's needs and whether student received services to address adaptive behavior & transition needs. | 1. 11/8/05 IEP did not provide FAPE 2. DOE to provide IEE at public expense 3. DOE to reimburse mother for private tutor and Reading Learning Center for 2 mos. 4. IEP team to meet within 4 wks. To provide appropriate multi-sensory reading program, discuss after-school or CBI program and implement transition services.                       | 1. 17<br>2. OHI-ADHD, FAX, Mild MR, CAP, Gen. Anxiety Dis., visual disorders<br>3. -<br>4. no | By 9 weeks               |
| 37 | 0506-112 | 1/3/06  | Mother/Father | 3/21/06 | HDOE   | 1. CV<br>2. AS & HS<br>3. RM | 1 Whether the student was denied a FAPE. 2. Whether the student's IEP was procedurally and substantively flawed.   | 1. Petitioners did not prove that DOE did provide FAPE 2. Petitioners request for private school reimbursement for SY 05-06 denied   | 1. Male, high school age<br>2. PDD & CAPD<br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes                                 | In time                  |
| 38 | 0506-113 | 1/13/05 | Mother        | 7/17/06 | Mother | 1. KL<br>2. GK<br>3. RY      | 1. Whether the 1/12/06 IEP offered FAPE. 2. Whether the student shall be reimbursed for the cost and placement and related services at the mainland residential facility for SY 06-07  | 1. DOE denied the student a FAPE as proposed placements did not provide adequate services to obtain meaningful educational gains. 2. Petitioners proved that mainland residential facility is an appropriate placement. 3. DOE to reimburse parent for the cost of placement and related services at the mainland residential facility for SY 06-07. | 1. 10 ?<br>2. ASD & MR<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes  | By 13 weeks / petitioner |
| 39 | 0506-124 | 2/2/06  | Parent        | 7/10/06 | Parent | 1SL<br>2.RSE & RHO<br>3. HHA | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE by inappropriate placement, vague IEP goals and failure to conduct re-eval. 2. Whether the student shall be reimbursed for the tuition at the private school  | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE 2. DOE shall reimburse parent for student's tuition at private school until such time as FAPE is offered   | 1. 12, ?<br>2. SLD, ODD, Dyslexia<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes   | By 14 weeks / petitioner |
| 40 | 0506-126 | 2/7/06  | Mother        | 5/31/06 | Mother | 1. 2. 3. HL`                 | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE with regard to the related service of transportation.   | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE, the IEP team shall determine a viable "back up" plan for transportation.  | 1. 8,<br>2. ?<br>3. ?<br>4. ?   | By 4 weeks               |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |          |         |         |          |         |                            |  |  |   |                            |
|----|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| 41 | 0506-127 | 2/10/06 | Parents | 9/18/06  | HDOE    | 1. SL<br>2. EA<br>3. RY    | 1. Whether DOE did not properly consider the potential harm to student as a result of an inappropriate placement or to the quality of services the student would receive 2) Whether the DOE denied the student FAPE both procedurally and substantively by not conducting evaluations. | Petitioners claims for relief were dismissed.  | 1. 6, ?<br>2. MR, CP, blind<br>3. ?<br>4. No              | By 18 weeks                |
| 42 | 0506-137 | 3/7/06  | Mother  | 6/16/06  | Mother  | 1.KP<br>2. LT<br>3. RY     | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE with regard to the related service of transportation. 2. Whether the DOE shall reimburse the off-campus, after school program.  | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE 2. DOE shall reimburse parent for student's transportation and the off-campus, after school program.                                       | 1.18<br>2. Autism<br>3. ?<br>4. No                        | By 2 weeks                 |
| 43 | 0506-138 | 3/7/06  | Mother  | 7/24/06  | Mother  | 1. KP<br>2. LT<br>3. HA    | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE regarding LRE, additional mental health supports and transition needs.  | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE 2. DOE shall reimburse parent for student's tuition at private school until such time as FAPE is offered                                   | 1. 17, ?<br>2. ADD, depression, anxiety<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes | By 7 weeks / both parties  |
| 44 | 0506-141 | 3/15/06 | Parent  | 8/22/06  | Parent  | 1. MCB<br>2. LHT<br>3. HHA | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE by not providing appropriate behavioral and m.h. interventions, appropriate IEP goals, LRE, and failure to follow BIP   | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE 2. DOE shall reimburse parent for student's tuition at private school, including private tutoring, and appropriate mental health services. | 1. 16, ?<br>2. ED, PDD, DD<br>3.<br>4. Yes                | By 10 weeks / both parties |
| 45 | 0506-146 | 3/29/06 | Parents | 9/1/06   | Parents | 1. SL<br>2. AS<br>3. HA    | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE because DOE did not properly consider potential psychological harm by inappropriate placement and placement was not in LRE. Program did not meet student's needs.   | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE 2. DOE shall reimburse parent for student's tuition at private school, including transportation, and related expenses.                     | 1. 5, ?<br>2. ?<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes                         | By 9 weeks / petitioner    |
| 46 | 0506-150 | 4/3/06  | Parent  | 10/30/06 | Parent  | 1. MB<br>2. RO<br>3. HA    | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE. 2 If the student shall be eligible for special education services.   | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE by not finding the student eligible for services 2. DOE to convene IEP meeting w/in 30 days to develop IEP                                 | 1. 12<br>2. ADHD (504)<br>3. ?<br>4. No                   | By 17 weeks / both parties |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |           |         |               |          |         |                               |   |  |  |             |
|----|-----------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------|
| 47 | 0506-156  | 4/24/06 | Mother/Father | 8/7/06   | Parents | 1. IV<br>2. RO<br>3. RY       | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE. 2. Whether the student shall have 2 hours a week of OT /SL reinstated and carried in to the summer 2006 ESY.  | 1. DOE did not provide FAPE 2. The student shall have 2 hours a week of OT /SL reinstated and carried in to the summer 2006 ESY.   | 1. ?,?<br>2. CP<br>3. ?<br>4. No             | By 3 weeks  |
| 48 | 0506-157  | 5/2/06  | Mother        | 9/21/06  | HDOE    | 1. IV<br>2. RE<br>3. RM       | 1. Whether the student was denied a FAPE. 2. Whether ore OT and SP should be provided during ESY  | 1. DOE provided a FAPE at the students home school   | 1.7, ?<br>2. Ortho. Imp.<br>3. ?<br>4. No    | By 8 weeks  |
| 49 | 0506-159  | 5/3/06  | Mother        | 6/19/06  | HDOE    | 1. WL<br>2. RO & HTS<br>3. HA | Whether the school improperly determined tha the student's paintball gun was dangerous weapon and/or firearm. 2. Whether the student was denied services in the IEP during the time that the student was suspended. 3)Whether the administrators rather than IEP team to make placement decisions | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed.  | 1. ?, ?<br>2. SLD<br>3. ?<br>4. No           | In time     |
| 50 | 0506-160A | 5/9/06  | Parents       | 12/18/06 | Parents | 1. CV<br>2. AS<br>3. HA       | 1. Whether the parents were allowed to participate in the decision of the continuation of special education services for the student.   | 1. Parents oportunity to participate in the decision of the continuation of special education services for the student was severely impaired 2. Student deemed elgible for special education services which include a 1:1 individualized instruction, SL services, and OT. 3. Parents shall be reimbursed for student's tuition and related services at the private school for the 2005/2006 SY. | 1. 11 (504)<br>2. ED<br>3. ?<br>4. Yes       | By 18 weeks |
| 51 | 0506-169  | 5/24/06 | Parent        | 8/31/06  | HDOE    | 1. WL<br>2. AS<br>3. RM       | 1. Whether the student's current placement provides a structured therapeutic learning environment. 2. Whether the students IEP adequately addressed the students innapropriate behavior. 3. Whether DOE provided adequate, intensive, and liable community home support.                          | 1. Petitioners claims were dismissed.  | 1. 6?, ?<br>2. MR , Bi-Polar<br>3. ?<br>4. ? | By 2 weeks  |

APPENDIX A.  
Summary Chart of Due Process Hearings

|    |          |         |      |         |                  |                                 |   |   |                                 |         |
|----|----------|---------|------|---------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---------|
| 52 | 0506-185 | 6/28/06 | HDOE | 9/11/06 | HDOE/P<br>arents | 1. DES<br>2. Pro<br>se<br>3. RM | 1. Whether the neuropsychological,<br>PT, OT evals obtained by the DOE<br>were appropriate. | 1. The neuropsychological eval was<br>deemed appropriate. 2. The PT &<br>OT evals obtained by the DOE were<br>not appropriate. 3. HDOE shall<br>provide parents with appropriate<br>evals for PT & OT at the public's<br>expense. | 1. ?, ?<br>2. ?<br>3. ?<br>4. ? | In time |
|----|----------|---------|------|---------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---------|